

1  
2  
3  
4 **RONALD FRANK FIDGE,**  
5 Plaintiff,  
6  
7 v.  
8  
9 **LAKE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, ET**  
10 **AL.,**  
11 Defendants.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 13-cv-05182-YGR

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE  
PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRE-FILING  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT CONFERENCE;  
DENYING MOTION TO CONTINUE PRE-  
FILING CONFERENCE AS MOOT**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 102, 104

Now before the Court are two requests submitted by Plaintiff Ronald Frank Fidge: plaintiff's Request for Pre-filing Summary Judgment Conference (Dkt. No. 102); and plaintiff's Motion to Continue Pre-filing Conference (Dkt. No. 104). Having considered plaintiff's submissions in support of his requests, the Court **DENIES** without prejudice plaintiff's request for a pre-filing summary judgment conference, and **DENIES AS MOOT** plaintiff's request to extend the pre-filing summary judgment conference.

Plaintiff filed the instant request for a summary judgment conference despite the fact that he is not required to file such a request as a *pro se* litigant. (See Standing Order regarding Pre-filing Conference Requirements for motions for summary judgment.) Accordingly, plaintiff was not required to file this request, nor is he required to participate in a pre-filing conference; he could simply file a motion for summary judgment as set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and the relevant local civil rules of this District. (See N.D. Cal. L. Civ. R. 7, *et seq.*) In the same filing, however, plaintiff represents that he will soon have an attorney appearing on his behalf. (Dkt. No. 102 at 1.) Given that fact, plaintiff's pending request for a conference is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. When plaintiff's attorney appears, he or she may file on plaintiff's behalf a request for a pre-filing summary judgment conference.

1           Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for a continuance of the pre-filing conference he originally  
2 requested is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

3           This terminates Docket Nos. 102, 104.

4

5           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6           Dated: October 31, 2014

7             
8           YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
9           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California